

Fort Hunter Liggett, CA, P1J-15-R-0101, 27 August 2015

Question #	Question Reference (RFP #, PWS #, etc.)	Question Include only one (1) question per row and do not include proprietary information within your question. Please do not add additional columns.	Response
1	A.22	I did not know what a BOA 6Holder was and I thought there may be a site or link on the EAGLE site that would explain.	That should read BOA Holders and not BOA 6. It is open to small business BOA Holders. NOTE: A.22 pertains to Site Visit, it is not in the final RFP.
2	PWS, Page # Sect. C-5, Page 3, Para 5.3.6; 5.2.1.5; and 5.2.1.3	PWS (5.3.6) talks of On-Site Maintenance Teams. The only Maintenance work hours provided are for Small Arms and Allied Trades. The Equipment Density exhibit (TE 5M-001) has various types of equipment (automotive, COMMEL, firefighting, MHE, trailers, etcetera...) but there are no hours on the M-S-T. Likewise the PWS mentions repair of lawn care equipment (5.2.1.5) and the operation of Range Support Trucks (5.2.1.3), but provides no hours. Either the PWS is wrong or the Maintenance hours are grossly understated. Please clarify.	The equipment density listing is provided to identify the type of equipment that will be supported by allied trades, production control and small arms repair. It should be noted that this is a fluid environment and changes are expected throughout the duration of this contract. However, for RFP purposes, propose to the workload data and densities provided. Projected Workload and Hours have been determined sufficient to support the current requirements and/or historical workload data. The data provided has been verified to provide sufficient information for the Offeror to submit a proposal to meet the contract requirements.
3	PWS, Page Sect. C-5 Page 24, Para. 5.18.1.1 - 5.18.1.3	There is a requirement to refuel/defuel generators as well as other fueling requirements besides aircraft (5.18.1.1-5.18.1.3) The MST seems to only have hours for fuel operations at the airfield. Is this an oversight?	This is not an oversight. Generators as well as other equipment (not just aircraft) utilize aviation fuel for the fueling and refueling requirement. Offerors should propose to the workload provided in the PWS as well as supporting TEs. TE 5S-008
4	PWS, Page Sect.C-5, Page 22, Para. 5.16.8	There are "driving" responsibilities inferred in the PWS (Operation of the Class VII Yard 5.16.8, for example) and trailers on the density list, but no hours for Heavy or Tractor-Trailer Drivers are list in the TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Category 1 Hours exhibit. Please clarify.	The "driving" requirements are part of the operation. Utilizing MHE (forklifts) and different types of equipment is included in these functions. Offerors should propose to the workload provided in the PWS as well as supporting TEs.
5	Draft RFP, Page 2, Paragraph A.3 Attachment 0001, Section C-1, Page 11, Paragraph 1.4.6	Draft RFP Paragraph A.3 states that the transition period is 30 days, but the PWS states that it is 60 days. Will the Government please clarify this discrepancy?	Transition-in is 30 days. The RFP will be updated accordingly.
6		Does Hunter Liggett have any unions? The proposal states this work is all SCA	This is a new effort and there are no unionized positions for this effort.
7	Section C-5, page 1, 5.1.3.1	The contractor shall provide field support to outlying areas such as unit facilities, various ranges/field locations etc., and by exception, off-post sites for limited duration efforts. We need additional information to respond. Can you please give us the number of occurrences that this is required over a time period and where the sites would be?	At present, outlying areas are limited to on-post ranges. Offerors should propose to the workload provided in the PWS as well as supporting TEs.
8	Section C-5 Para 5.1.3.1	The contractor shall ensure on-site maintenance personnel are equipped with proper tools for the effort to be completed. Request a list of typical tools. Understand the government will provide the tools.	As noted in PWS paragraph 3.6.1, TE 3G-002, Government Furnished Equipment identifies tools and equipment by NSN.
9	Page 2, Para 5.2.1	The contractor shall test, inspect, repair, service, maintain, transport, and process/deprocess commercial or tactical equipment. Need a more in-depth explanation of what this entails in terms of frequency of occurrence. Please cite a LRC SOP. Need for staffing the estimate.	A SOP will be provided during transition-in. The effort of paragraph 5.2.1 is for small arms repair as noted in TE 1M-S-T-001.
10	Page 2, Para 5.2.1.3	The contractor shall be able to operate Range Support Truck(s) with Field Level maintenance repairmen. Generally, a truck driver does not perform maintenance. We understand from the site visit the armament tech will drive the contact truck to the firing range as part of the position's duties. Is that still correct?	Driving / operating a vehicle/truck is a normal part of the armament tech's duties. Offerors should propose to the workload provided in the PWS as well as supporting TEs.
11	Page 4, Para 5.3.6.2	The contractor shall provide or appoint a site lead for each service location to guide contractor personnel. We understand that entails a contractor rep as a lead for the contract work and not for the overall operation of a support facility in the LRC. Is that correct?	A worker can be a "lead" if he/she is the only one at the location. The intent is to have someone who takes responsibility for the effort at the location and not in a supervisory position.
12	Page 4, Para 5.3.1.4.1	The contractor shall operate a web based system for pinpoint subscription, initial requests, replacement, and changes of standard publications including hard copy and electronic media. From the site visit we understand this support system is the Army publications requisition web site. The requirement entails authorizing our personnel to log on as designated users. Therefore, the government will sponsor accounts for the contractor assigned this task. Correct?	The Government will be the sponsor to ensure access to applicable authorized web-based sites necessary to complete the mission.
13	Page 5, Para 5.3.1.6	Fort Hunter Liggett supports the Army, Navy, Air Force and Marines. Supported equipment density lists and technical publications change from time to time; are there armed service specific support directives we must follow for delivery of maintenance support? Understand from the site visit this multi-service logistics support is mainly Class V and occasional pass back equipment maintenance. If we perform work on equipment, we understand we are follow the technical publications of the equipment and only perform work under a maintenance work order from the government (LRC) maintenance management system.	The Government will provide the required information (i.e. work orders, publication, specifications) to complete the task.
14	Page 10, Para 5.9.1(h)	Automated Management Information Systems. Will the contractor be required to perform on site user assistance for the government IT systems we operate for the LRC? Or will this support come from the Installation NEC of LRC support technician?	There are no IT system maintenance requirements only access. The Government will provide IT support.
15	Page 22, Para 5.16.2	Understand the contractor staff will perform the SASSO, CCISO, SIMS-X tasks IAW the proper policy and procedure documents, with the government LRC certified officials approving the documents and releasing the materiel, as appropriate. Is that correct?	There are no requirements for the performance of SASSO, CCISO, SIMS-X tasks. Paragraphs 5.16.5.1 and 5.16.5.2 have been removed from the PWS.
16	Page 24, Para 5-18.	Is there a frequency of aircraft refueling in terms of number of aircraft to be serviced over a time period? Realize future aircraft arrivals and departures are difficult to predict. Looking for frequency guidance and service response timeframes to estimate staffing.	There is no specific frequency of aircraft refueling due to variance in schedule. Offerors should propose to the workload provided in the PWS as well as supporting TEs.

17	Page 22, Para 5.16.6	The contractor shall perform wipe test of radioactive items that are to be shipped, coordinate with the Installation Radiation Protection Officer (RPO) and ship with related paperwork. The contractor shall perform these functions in accordance with AR 710-2, DA PAM 710-2-2 AR 735-5. Understand an LRC government representative will "sign off" on the shipping and certification documents. Is that correct?	There is no requirement to perform wipe test. Paragraph 5.16.6 has been update to reflect no wipe test requirement.
18	Page 23, Para 5.1.6.9	What constitutes a Reclamation Sale and who ultimately decides to move materiel to one of these sales?	There is no requirement to perform Reclamation Sale. Paragraph 5.1.6.9 has been removed from the PWS.
19	Page 25, Page 5.19.1.1	The contractor will assist Commanders and the LRC to provide realistic training opportunities. Suggest that the contractor respond only to the Government ASP Chief or COR for its role in training opportunities for units. This will prevent conflicts with ongoing assigned work.	NOTED. However, Commanders and LRC directors work with Contracting Officers (KOs) when mission needs change. KOs work with Project Managers to execute the mission
20	General	Since this is a new requirement, will it eventually become unionized?	We are not able to answer this question. Contractor employees unionizing is between the employees and the union.
21	General	Will the Systems Tech have to be Ammo 62 certified? Follow up: Based on information at the site visit, it seems as if there was an ammo systems clerk along with the supply technicians. Based on the workload data, there are multiple positions. Based on our visit, it sounded like the systems clerk was going to be responsible for the input into SAAS-Mod while the supply technicians had the Ammo 60 series responsibilities. I was just needing clarification if everyone at the ASP needed the Ammo 62 Certification.	No, there is no "Systems Tech". The " Supply Tech II (ASP SAAS-MOD Operator)" will not have to be Ammo 62 certified. Please refer to TE 1G-005 for certification and skill requirements. Offerors are to propose to workload data provided as noted in the PWS, TE 1 M-S-T-001 and TE 1G-005.
22	General	Is this, or will it be CBA or SCA?	This requirement will require SCA proposed positions.
23	TE-1G-003	What are LRC normal hours? The PWS shows M-F, 9 hour days, every other Friday 8 hours. CA law states OT paid on a daily basis, is the 9 hour days will we have to pay OT even though they are still working 80 hours every 2 weeks.	Refer to TE-1G-003, Hours of Operation for the contractor hours of operation. Also refer to California Department of Labor for specific California labor law. It should be noted that compliance with labor rules, laws and regulations is the responsibility of the offeror.
24	TE-1G-005	On the Key and Specified Non-Key positions, what does G2 concur mean?	G2 refers to Army Sustainment Command Security office. The column on Key and Specified Non-Key Personnel indicates that ASC G2 concurs with the level of clearance required. This column will be removed in the formal RFP.
25	TE-1G-005	Are the positions listed on the Key and Specified Non-Key stand alone or can they be split with FLC1 hours?	Refer to PWS para 1.3.2.1 - Named Key Positions are to be not less than one (1) FTE each and may not be 'dual – hatted' with other Key Positions with the exception of the Quality / Environmental Manager.
26	General	Are there Government staff whose positions will transition to this requirement?	There are no Government positions that will transition to this requirement.
27	General	There is new construction on post. Will the post experience growth in new organizations to be supported by the LRC? Will there either be an increase of decrease in LRC population served during the term of the contract?	The information on attachments and exhibits are the Government's best estimate for this RFP. It should be noted that this is a fluid environment and changes are expected throughout the duration of this contract. However, for RFP purposes, Offerors are to propose to the language in the RFP.
28	RFP, Page 66, Paragraph M.4.1	Small Business Participation is listed as an evaluation factor. There are no instructions for how to provide Small Business Participation information. Additionally, the evaluation steps do not detail how Small Business Participation will be evaluated. Can the Government please clarify this requirement?	Small Business Participation factor is not an evaluation factor. The 3 evaluation factors are past performance, cost/price, and technical. The Small Business Participation requirement has been removed from the formal RFP.
29	RFP, Page 55, Paragraph L.5.2.1.1(c)(6)(i)	Paragraph states "The Offeror's Attachment 0002 must identify Key and Specified Non-Key positions using "(Key)" and "(Non-Key)" following the Offeror's position description or description; see example tab in Attachment 0002." The Example Tab in Attachment 002 does not show the use of "Key" and "Non-Key". Can the Government please clarify this requirement?	Attachment 0002 Example Tab has been updated to provide additional information as to Key and Non-Key positions. It is not directive in nature.
30	CD CDRLS, Page 2, CD-02, Block 12	Date of first submission is listed as 65 days after NTP. There is a 60 Transition and as this requirement is for work performed during the previous month, there would be nothing to report at day 65. Can the Government please clarify this requirement?	The transition-in is 30 days. The Government has updated the RFP accordingly.
31	MMD CDRLS, Page 2, MMD-02,Block 12	Date of first submission is listed as 35 days after NTP. There is a 60 Transition so at Day 35, the transfer of accountability for weapons, locks and keys will not have been completed. Can the Government please clarify this requirement?	The transition-in is 30 days. The Government has updated the RFP accordingly.
32	MMD CDRLS, Page 2, MMD-04, Block 12	Date of first submission is listed as 45 days after NTP. There is a 60 Transition so at Day 45, the transfer of accountability for sensitive items will not have been completed. Can the Government please clarify this requirement?	The transition-in is 30 days. The Government has updated the RFP accordingly.
33	PM 13 -24 CDRLS, Page 9, PM-21, Block 12	Date of first submission is listed as NLT 30 days after NTP. There is a 60 Transition and as this requirement is for recording work related illness and injuries for each, there would be nothing to report at day 30. Can the Government please clarify this requirement?	The transition-in is 30 days. The Government has updated the RFP accordingly.
34	SS CRDLS, Page 1, SS-01, Block 12	Date of first submission is listed as 5th Day of 2d Month after NTP. There is a 60 Transition and as this requirement is for reporting monthly supply, there would be nothing to report prior to day the 5th Day of the 2d Month after Performance/Contract start.	The transition-in is 30 days. The Government has updated the RFP accordingly.

35	TE 5M-001 Maintenance Density List; PWS, Section C – 5 Page 3, Paragraph 5.3.2; TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	Density List show 760 items to include 184 items of rolling stock, 19 generators, 49 items with small engines, as well as numerous electronic items. PWS 5.3.2 states: The contractor shall provide maintenance support to Fort Hunter Liggett non-tactical organizations by performing maintenance of tactical and non-tactical (commercial) equipment found on the supported elements installation property book and approved by the COR for repair. Supported Base Operations customers include LRC and other tenant organizations. Equipment currently supported includes, but is not limited to those listed in TE 5M-001 Density List. TE 5M-001 is not an all-inclusive list and will change over time. The contractor shall perform all actions to standard, to include published task times. Maintenance Workload data provided in TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours only reflects Requirements associated with Small Arms Repair and Allied Trades. Can the Government please clarify the Maintenance workload and performance requirements?	As stated, the contractor shall provide maintenance support to Fort Hunter Liggett non-tactical organizations by performing maintenance of tactical and non-tactical (commercial) equipment found on the supported elements installation property book and approved by the COR for repair. Along with providing support, there are specified requirements and task identified on TE 1 M-S-T-001. Other support is from production control, tool room operations and supply functions.
36	TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions	In other recent EAGLE solicitations, the Key and Specified Non Key Positions exhibits have included guidelines as to whether Key and Specified Non Key Positions can be dual-hatted and if their hours can be divided between FLC1 and FLC 2. Can the Government provide similar guidelines for this solicitation?	For key positions please refer to PWS 1.3.2.1. Named Key Positions are to be not less than one (1) FTE each and may not be 'dual – hatted' with other Key Positions with the exception of the Quality / Environmental Manager. For Specified Non-Key Positions please refer to Section L, L.5.2.1.1(c)(6) and sub paragraphs along with TE 1 M-S-T-001.
37	PWS, Section C-1 Page 11, Paragraph 1.4.6	Paragraph states: The transition-in, being part of the base year of this effort, will commence at Notice to Proceed (NTP) date issued by the KO and will continue until full operational capability (FOC), not to exceed 30 days. The RFP stipulates a 60 day Transition. Can the Government confirm the length of the Transition and update solicitation documents accordingly?	The transition-in is 30 days. The Government has updated the RFP accordingly.
38	Draft RFP para L.5.4.2.7.2(b)	Regarding the date on the Wage Determination for Hunter Liggett. The one cited in the draft RFP is WD 05-2049 with a date of 12/22/2014. There is an updated one with the date of 7/8/2015 where the H&W was raised. We need to know which one to use to start the contract with because that affects our pricing. In the new SCA the H&W was increased by \$.20 for every hour worked so if our bid uses the latest SCA and other bidders use the earlier one, by default, our bid is going to be much higher.	The RFP (Attachment 0007) will reflect Wage Determination No.: 2005-2049, dated 07/14/2015.
39	DRAFT RFP - Hunter Liggett - W52P1J15R0101 A.7	Would the Government please confirm that there is no incumbent contractor and that this is a new requirement?	There is no incumbent contractor and this is a new requirement.
40	DRAFT RFP - Hunter Liggett - W52P1J15R0101 A.7 DRAFT Attachment 0007 - Department of Labor Wage Determination DRAFT Exhibit E TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions	If this is a new requirement and there is no CBA associated, would the Government please clarify what the Supply Technician II and Allied Trades Worker positions, required IAW Exhibit E, map to on the Wage Determination, Attachment 0007?	The intent of TE 1G-0005 is to identify skills needed to match work load requirements. It is up to the offerors to determine the proper skills needed to meet the requirements. Offerors should propose to workload data provided.
41	DRAFT RFP - Hunter Liggett - W52P1J15R0101 L.2.2	Section L.2.2 notes "All information pertaining to a particular volume shall be confined to that volume. For example, no Cost/Price information shall be included in any volume other than the Cost/Price Proposal Volume." Would the Government please confirm that Att0010 does indeed belong in Volume 1, General Documents?	Attachment 0010 - Teaming Matrix is part of Volume 1, General Documents.
42	DRAFT RFP - Hunter Liggett - W52P1J15R0101 L.2.8	Section L.2.8 notes, "For the purposes of proposal preparation and evaluation only, the Offeror shall use 1 March 2016 as the NTP." Shall Offerors assume NTP date is the start date for Transition-in?	Transition-in begins with Notice to Proceed.
43	DRAFT RFP - Hunter Liggett - W52P1J15R0101 L.5.4.2.2(b)	As Subcontractors will not be proposing the plug ODC numbers, we request permission to provide an unlocked/unprotected copy for the subcontractor Att0005.	The subcontractor is allowed to use an unlocked/unprotected copy of Attachment 0005.
44	DRAFT Exhibit E TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions	Exhibit E currently does not give guidance on FLC1/FLC2 Classification of Key and Specified Non-Key Positions. When the FINAL RFP is released, will Exhibit E give clear guidance as to FLC1/FLC2 Classification, especially with regard to Specified Non-Key Positions which are not 100% FLC2?	Refer to RFP Section L.5.2.1.1(c)(5)(ii) and (iii) for definitions of FLC 1 and 2 for further guidance.
45	DRAFT Exhibit E TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions	The Position Description for the Supply Technician II (IPBO) refers to this position as PBS and PBS PBUSE. Would the Government please clarify the Position Description for the Supply Technician II (IPBO) in Exhibit E?	TE 1G-005 has been updated to reflect Supply Technician II (Asset Management) and the term PBS removed for additional clarity.
46	DRAFT Exhibit T PM 01-12 CDRLs	With regard to PM-01, Block 12 stipulates the Date of First Submission of TE 1G-002, Contractor Employee Information List, as 10 days after Notice to Proceed. This is feasible for Key Positions, and in line with PM-02 and submitting Key Position Resumes; however, it is not feasible to provide a complete listing of all contractor personnel 10 days after NTP when the transition-in period is 60 days. Would the Government please clarify the First Submission Date for PM-01?	The transition-in is 30 days. The Government has updated the RFP accordingly.

47	DRAFT Exhibit U PM 13-24 CDRLs	With regard to PM-21, Block 12, Date of First Submission, stipulates 30 calendar days after notice to proceed; however, the Transition-In period is actually 60 days. Would the Government please revise Block 12 to stipulate 60 days after notice to proceed, in line with the 60-day Transition-In period?	The transition-in is 30 days. The Government has updated the RFP accordingly.
48	DRAFT Attachment 0001 - PWS - Fort Hunter Liggett DRAFT Exhibit E TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions	PWS para 1.3.2.1 states, in part, "Named Key Positions are to be not less than one (1) FTE each and may not be dual-hatted with other Key Positions with the exception of the Quality/Environmental Manager." The Project Manager is the only other Key Position and the Quality/Environmental Manager is supposed to remain independent. Does this statement apply or is it permissible for the PM to be dual-hatted as the Quality/Environmental Manager for this requirement?	As indicated in TE 1G-005, the Quality/Environmental Manager is independent. However the portions should support the requirements to the fullest.
49	DRAFT Attachment 0001 - PWS - Fort Hunter Liggett	PWS para 1.4.5 states, in part, "Additionally, utilizing an existing staff member, the contractor will designate an alternate PM to be available in the event the Project Manager is absent or otherwise unavailable." Just for clarity, this can be an existing staff member of the offeror/proposing company?	Alternate PM is a contractor employee that will stand in for the PM when he/she is not available. Offeror's may designate any onsite employee it deems qualified (regardless of other key positions assigned) for review by the Government.
50	DRAFT Attachment 0001 - PWS - Fort Hunter Liggett	PWS para 1.4.5 states, in part, "Additionally, utilizing an existing staff member, the contractor will designate an alternate PM to be available in the event the Project Manager is absent or otherwise unavailable." Is it permissible to designate the Quality/Environmental Manager as the Alternate PM?	Alternate PM is a contractor employee that will stand in for the PM when he/she is not available. Offeror's may designate any onsite employee it deems qualified (regardless of other key positions assigned) for review by the Government.
51	DRAFT Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours DRAFT Attachment 0001 - PWS - Fort Hunter Liggett	Exhibit A, Material Maintenance Support PWS 5.2, has two line requirements for "I Shop - Small Arms Repair." Would the Government please clarify the difference between the two lines, if there is any, or combined the workload into the appropriate requirement?	TE 1 M-S-T-001 has been update to reflect specific activities for Small Arms repair functions/locations
52	DRAFT Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours DRAFT Attachment 0001 - PWS - Fort Hunter Liggett	Attachment 0001, PWS, para 5.2.1.3, outlines a requirement to "operate Range Support Trucks with Field Level maintenance repairmen"; however, Exhibit A, Material Maintenance Support PWS 5.2, has no workload data to support such a requirement. Would the Government please clarify this requirement in the PWS or in Exhibit A?	The workload data to support this requirement is provided in TE 1 M-S-T-001.
53	DRAFT Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours DRAFT Attachment 0001 - PWS - Fort Hunter Liggett	Attachment 0001, PWS, para 5.2.1.5, outlines a requirement to "provide administrative support for work-ordered lawn care, landscaping, and related equipment"; however, Exhibit A, Material Maintenance Support PWS 5.2, has no workload data to support such a requirement. Would the Government please clarify this requirement in the PWS or in Exhibit A?	PWS paragraph 5.2.1.5 has been updated to reflect "The contractor shall provide administrative (production control) support for..." Please refer to PWS Para 5.8 and subsequent paragraphs as they relate to production control requirements.
54	DRAFT Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours DRAFT Attachment 0001 - PWS - Fort Hunter Liggett	Attachment 0001, PWS, para 5.2.1.6, outlines a requirement to "perform repair of CONEX/MILVANS" and "ensure ISO container inspectors are properly trained and certified"; however, Exhibit A, Material Maintenance Support PWS 5.2, has no workload data to support such a requirement. Would the Government please clarify this requirement in the PWS or in Exhibit A?	PWS, para 5.2.1.6 has been RESERVED
55	DRAFT Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours DRAFT Attachment 0001 - PWS - Fort Hunter Liggett	Attachment 0001, PWS, para 5.3.6, outlines a requirement to "provide on-site maintenance teams" and "provide or appoint a site lead for each service location"; however, Exhibit A, Materiel Maintenance Programs/Efforts PWS 5.3, does not seem to have any associated workload to support such a requirement. Would the Government please clarify this requirement in the PWS or in Exhibit A?	TE 1 M-S-T-001 has been update to reflect specific activities for Small Arms Pass-back Maintenance (Range Support)
56	DRAFT Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours DRAFT Attachment 0001 - PWS - Fort Hunter Liggett	Exhibit A, Allied Trades PWS 5.5, reflects a requirement for HVAC repair or service; however, the PWS does not stipulate this requirement in PWS para 5.5. Would the Government please clarify the HVAC repair or service requirement in Exhibit A and/or Attachment 0001?	PWS paragraph 5.5.8 has been updated to reflect requirements for HVAC.
57	DRAFT Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours DRAFT Attachment 0001 - PWS - Fort Hunter Liggett	Exhibit A, Allied Trades PWS 5.5, reflects a requirement for Tire repair/balance/mounting; however, the PWS does not stipulate such a requirement in PWS para 5.5. Would the Government please clarify the Tire repair/balance/mounting requirement in Exhibit A and/or Attachment 0001?	PWS paragraphs 5.5.11 and 5.5.12 have been updated to reflect requirements for wheel & tire
58	DRAFT Attachment 0001 - PWS - Fort Hunter Liggett Para 1.3.2, 1.5.9, 1.12,5.17.9 and 5.19.1.1	Based on all the training requirements listed in the PWS, will the Government consider that training as an ODC cost reimbursable item?	The Government will provide new fielding training only as an ODC.. Offers are required to have workforce that is properly trained at time of award. PWS clearly defines personnel training and certification requirements.
59	DRAFT Attachment 0007 - Department of Labor Wage Determination	DOL issued WD 05-2049 (Rev.-19) on 07/14/2015; will the Government incorporate the new revision into the Final Solicitation?	The RFP (Attachment 0007) will reflect Wage Determination No.: 2005-2049, dated 07/14/2015.
60	TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions, page one, numbers 6-16. Attachment 0001 - Performance Work Statement, Section C-1, page 5, paragraph 1.3.2.1.1	Please clarify if the Specified Non-Key positions are standalone (1 FTE) or if they can be dual-hatted with other Specified Non-Key positions or FLC1 positions. PWS only refers to the Key positions and does not state whether the non-key positions can be dual-hatted.	Please refer to PWS 1.3.2.1. Named Key Positions are to be not less than one (1) FTE each and may not be 'dual – hatted' with other Key Positions with the exception of the Quality / Environmental Manager.